(3)

CH 04

IN THE HIGH COURT OFJUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

~~XXXXXX~~W.P.No. 357 .OF 2003

PETITIONER :- RAM CHARAN NISHAD,AGED ABOUT
68 YEARS,S/o PAHARU RAM NISHAD
RETIRED GRAM SAHAYAK:R/o
VILLAGE ARAOD(LILAR)TAHSIL
AND DISTRICT DHAMTARI(C.G.)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS:- 1. STATE GOVERNMENT OF CHHATTISGARH
THROUGH THE SECRETARY
MINISTRY OF PANCHAYAT AND SAMAJ SEWA
DAU KALYAN SING BHAWAN
RAIPUR(C.G.)

2. JOINT DIRECTOR,
DIRECTORATE PANCHAYAT
AND SAMAJ SEWA,
RAIPUR(C.G.)

3. DEPUTY DIRECTOR,
DIRECTORATE PANCHAYAT
AND SAMAJ SEWA,
RAIPUR(C.G.)

P.R. No. 330/03
Presented by Shri C.R.Sahu
dated 26.10.03

PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF MANDAMUS,SUITABLE WRIT, DIRECTION OR ORDER IN THE NATURE OF WRIT FOR THE PAYMENT OF ENTIRE SERVICE DUES TO THE PETITIONER

(31)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 3507/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	06/02/2004 Shri Santosh Sahu, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Praveen Das, Panel Lawyer for the respondents/State. The petitioner has preferred this writ petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India. The grievance of the petitioner is that after retirement of the petitioner from the post of Gram Sahayak on 31/07/1992. Petitioner has not been paid his retiral benefits like gratuity amount, salary dues and pension amount as mentioned in para 8 of the petition. Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation to respondent no.2 and respondent no.2 be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner after considering all his claims to which learned counsel for the State has no objection. Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances within a period of ten days to the respondent no.2 and in turn respondent no.2 will decide the representation within a period of 30 days with a reasoned order considering all the points raised by the petitioner regarding his payment of dues of retiral benefits. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.No. 3176/2003 also stands disposed of. Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Rajni/Pushpa 06.02